Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.

Operator: AMEREN ILLINOIS COMPANY	Operator ID#: 32513
Inspection Date(s): 9/1/2015, 9/2/2015, 9/3/2015	Man Days: 3
Inspection Unit: Pana	
Location of Audit: Pana	
Exit Meeting Contact: Kevin Glaspy	
Inspection Type: Design Testing and Construction	
Pipeline Safety Representative(s): Donald Hankins	
Company Representative to Receive Report: Michael Fuller	
Company Representative's Email Address: mfuller2@ameren.com	
·	

CONSTRUCTION INFORMATION			
Location of Construction:		Construction Pe	erformed By:
800 East Sciberling, Blue Mound, Illinois		Ameren Illinois C	Crew
Contractor Foreman:	No contractor foreman on	this job.	
Operator Inspector:	Kevin Glaspy, Quality Ass	urance	
	Person(s) On Jo	ob Site	
Terry Paul	Terry Manle	Э у	Matt Branum
Jeff Vebinger			

Description of Construction:

An Ameren Illinois gas crew was installing a 1 inch PE service line into 800 East Sciberling, in Blue Mound, Illinois. The pipe was Driscoplex pipe, with the Julie dig number being X002361856, with the service line being air tested to 102 pounds for 15 minutes, with no leaks being found.

SERVICE INSTALLATION		Status
[192.55]	Steel	Yes
General Comment:	<u> </u>	
The gas main was 4 inch steel pipe	e.	
9		
	PE	Yes
[192.59] General Comment:	PE	Yes

AMEREN ILLINOIS COMPANY/9-3-2015

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.

[192.59]	Other	No
General Comment:	<u> </u>	
No other pipe was installed.		
[192.619,192.621,192.623]	MAOP	60#
[192.5]	Class location :	Class 3
[192.53]	Are components qualified for use?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
The pipe and fittings were all marked for use on this jo	b.	
[192.63(a)(2)]	Pipe Size :	1 Inch
[192.55,192.59]	Specification :	ASTM D-2513
[192.55,192.59]	Manufacturer :	Driscoplex
[192.55,192.59]	Pipe Grade :	PE 2406/2708
[192.55,192.59]	Wall Thickness :	.099
[192.63]	Are pipe, valves, and fittings properly marked for identification?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
The pipe and fittings were all marked for use on this jo	b.	
[192.227]	Welder qualification date:	7-14-15
[192.227]	Welder's Name:	Jeff Vebinger
[192.225,192.275,192.277,192.279,192.28	Is pipe joined in accordance with approved written procedures?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Pipe was joined according to the O&M procedures.		
[192.285]	Date of Qualification :	3-19-2015
[192.285]	Joiner's name:	Terry Manley
[192.455]	Is buried metallic pipe coated?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
The 4 inch steel gas main was coated.		
[192.455(a)(1)]	Is cathodic protection being provided?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Cathodic protection was being provided with the catho	dic reading being -1.0	
[192.455(a)(1)]	Is cathodic protection being provided? By Anodes:	Yes
General Comment:		

AMEREN ILLINOIS COMPANY/9-3-2015

Page 2 of 6

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.

Cathodic protection is being provided by anodes.		
[192.455(a)(1)]	Is cathodic protection being provided? By Rectifier:	No
General Comment:		
There are no rectifiers in the area of where the work wa	s being performed.	
[192.461]	Does coating meet 192.461?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
The steel gas main and service tap was coated and wra	pped by wax wrap tape, where the coating had been knocked off the gas main.	
[192.461(c)]	Is coating inspected just prior to being installed in the ditch?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Coating was inspected as the main and service tap was	back filled.	
[192.479]	Are above ground facilities cleaned and coated or jacketed as needed?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
The above ground riser pipe was coated and clean at the	ne time of installation.	
[192.467]	Are pipelines electrically isolated from other underground metallic structures?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
No other underground structures in this area where the	work was performed.	
[192.319]	Is ditch back-filled to provide firm support and prevent damage to pipe or coating?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
The service line had dirt placed over it before back-filling	g was conducted.	
[192.321(c)]	Is plastic pipe installed as to minimize shear and tensile forces?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
A protective sleeve was installed where the service line	was connected to the tapping tee at the gas main.	
[192.321]	Does plastic pipe have means of locating while underground?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
A tracer wire was included in the ditch for means of local	nting the service line.	
[192.325]	Are required clearances from underground structures being maintained?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
No other structures in the area of this service line.		
[192.327(a)]	Is required cover being obtained for the service line?	Satisfactory

AMEREN ILLINOIS COMPANY/9-3-2015

Page 3 of 6

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.

General Comment:		
The service line was installed at a depth	of around 3 feet.	
[192.503]	Are general testing requirements being met?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
The service line and tapping tee were air	tested to 102 pounds for 15 minutes, with no leaks being found.	
[192.517]	Are records being made of strength and leak tests?	Satisfactory
General Comment:	·	
The crew leader recorded the pressure to	est.	
[192.807]	Were covered employees Operator Qualification records reviewed to ensure qualification?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
All employees at the job site were qualifi	ed to complete the covered task of this job.	
[192.805(c)]	Were non-qualified personnel being directed and observed by a qualified individual?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
All employees at the job site were qualifi	ed to complete the covered task of this job.	
[192.805(c)]	Were span of control limitations being followed?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
All employees at the job site were qualifi	ed to complete the covered task of this job.	
Was a Protocol 9 (Form 15) Con	pleted?	No
General Comment:		
Staff did not complete a protocol form 15	during this audit.	
[192.614]	Were One Call Notifications performed as required?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
The crew for Ameren Illinois had a Julie	one call notification, with them.	
[192.614]	Dig Ticket #	X002361856
[192.383(b)]	Is an EFV installed on any new or replaced service line serving a single-family residence?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
A UMAC excess flow valve was installed	at the service line connection to the tapping tee to the gas main.	
[192.751]	Are adequate steps taken to minimize the potential for accidental ignition?	Satisfactory
General Comment:	<u> </u>	

AMEREN ILLINOIS COMPANY/9-3-2015

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.

The Ameren crew took steps to prevent accidental ignition.

AMEREN ILLINOIS COMPANY/9-3-2015 Page 5 of 6

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.

AMEREN ILLINOIS COMPANY/9-3-2015 Page 6 of 6